

STANLEY LAW GROUP  
MATTHEW J. ZEVIN, SBN: 170736  
10021 Willow Creek Road, Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92131  
Telephone: (619) 235-5306  
Facsimile: (815) 377-8419  
e-mail: mzevin@aol.com

FRANTZ LAW GROUP, APLC  
JAMES P. FRANTZ, SBN 87492  
WILLIAM P. HARRIS, III, SBN  
123575  
GEORGE T. STIEFEL, SBN 297611  
402 West Broadway, Suite 860  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Telephone: (619) 233-5945  
Facsimile: (619) 525-7672  
E-mail: [jpf@frantzlawgroup.com](mailto:jpf@frantzlawgroup.com)  
[wharris@frantzlawgroup.com](mailto:wharris@frantzlawgroup.com)  
[gstiefel@frantzlawgroup.com](mailto:gstiefel@frantzlawgroup.com)

## Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

WENDY CHOWNING and  
LOURDES CASAS, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

## Plaintiffs,

V.

KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORES,  
INC., a Delaware Corporation;  
KOHL'S CORPORATION; and  
DOES 1 through 20, inclusive.

### Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:15-cv-8673-RGK-SP

## **CLASS ACTION**

**STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL  
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE  
41(a)(1)(A)(ii)**

Judge: Hon. R. Gary Klausner

Ctrm: 850

1 Plaintiff Wendy Chowning (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Kohl’s Corp. and  
2 Kohl’s Department Stores, Inc. (collectively, “Kohl’s”), being referred to  
3 collectively herein as the “Parties,” by and through their respective counsel,  
4 hereby stipulate as follows:

5 **STIPULATION**

6 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) on  
7 January 20, 2016 [Dkt. No. 44], alleging multiple legal claims, including claims  
8 under California’s False Advertising Law (the “FAL”); California’s Unfair  
9 Competition Law (the “UCL”) and California’s Consumers Legal Remedies Act  
10 (the “CLRA”);

11 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2016, the Court granted Defendant’s Motion  
12 for Summary Judgment as to all claims for monetary relief under the FAL and  
13 UCL claims as well as to “any claim for restitution under the CLRA.” [Dkt. No.  
14 112 at 14];

15 WHEREAS, on April 1, 2016, the Court denied Plaintiff’s Motion for  
16 Class Certification [Dkt. No. 123], determining that:

17 1. In light of the Order granting partial summary judgment,  
18 Plaintiff was left with only two claims: (a) injunctive relief; and (b) Non-  
19 restitutionary monetary relief under the CLRA;

20 2. Plaintiff is barred from pursuing injunctive relief based on the  
21 rule against duplicative actions; and

22 3. Plaintiff did not advance any non-restitutionary theory of  
23 damages;

24 WHEREAS, on June 14, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Request for Status  
25 Conference or, in the Alternative, Entry of Judgment (“Request”) [Dkt. No. 132];

26 WHEREAS, on June 30, 2016, Kohl’s filed a Non-Opposition to  
27 Plaintiff’s Request [Dkt. No. 134];  
28

1           WHEREAS, on July 27, 2016, the Court issued an Order construing  
2 Plaintiff's Request as a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and denying such  
3 motion [Dkt. No. 136];

4           WHEREAS, Plaintiff's FAC does not make any claim for punitive or  
5 statutory damages under the CLRA;

6           WHEREAS, the only measures of actual damages that Plaintiff seeks  
7 under the CLRA are: 1) a return of the full amount that she paid for her  
8 purchases; and 2) the difference between the price paid and the value of what she  
9 received, which measures were specifically rejected by the Court in its order  
10 granting partial summary judgment; and

11          WHEREAS, Plaintiff submits that, as the cumulative result of the Court's  
12 orders and the relief she is pursuing in this action, Plaintiff has no further  
13 remedies to pursue.

14          WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES  
15 THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:

16          Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
17 Plaintiff, having no remaining remedies to pursue, dismisses her case with  
18 prejudice.

19          This stipulation is not filed pursuant to a settlement agreement. The  
20 Parties have not entered into any settlement of Plaintiff's claims. The Plaintiff  
21 agrees that this stipulation of dismissal does not prevent Kohl's from seeking  
22 costs pursuant to Rule 54(d) of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

23          Kohl's agrees that it will not challenge any appeal by plaintiff on the  
24 ground that a voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal  
25 Rules of Civil Procedure bars plaintiff from appealing.

26          IT IS SO STIPULATED.

27  
28

1 Dated: August 26, 2016

THE EMGE FIRM, LLP

2 /s/

3 DEREK J. EMGE  
4 501 W. Broadway, Suite 1760  
5 San Diego, CA 92101  
6 Telephone: (619) 595-1400  
7 Facsimile: (619) 595-1480  
8 E-mail: derek@emgelawfirm.com

9 FRANTZ LAW GROUP, APLC  
10 GEORGE T. STIEFEL  
11 JAMES P. FRANTZ, SBN 87492  
12 WILLIAM P. HARRIS, III  
13 402 West Broadway, Suite 860  
14 San Diego, CA 92101  
15 Telephone: (619) 233-5945  
16 Facsimile: (619) 525-7672  
17 E-mail: jpf@frantzlawgroup.com  
18 wharris@frantzlawgroup.com  
19 gstiefel@frantzlawgroup.com

20 STANLEY LAW GROUP  
21 MATTHEW J. ZEVIN, 10021  
22 Willow Creek Road, Suite 200  
23 San Diego, CA 92131  
24 Telephone: (619) 235-5306  
25 Facsimile: (815) 377-8419  
26 e-mail: mzevin@aol.com

27 Dated: August 26, 2016

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

28 /s/

ALEX BEROUKHIM  
JAMES F. SPEYER  
777 South Figueroa St., 44<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

27 The undersigned hereby certifies pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4 that all  
28 signatories listed above concur in the content and have authorized this filing.

Dated: August 24, 2016

THE EMGE FIRM, LLP

27 /s/

28 DEREK J. EMGE